

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

-.
OA 197 of 2024

Tuesday, the 27th day of Feb, 2024

CORAM:

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)
HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

Rajeev Kumar **Applicant**

(By S.M.Kundu, Advocate)

Union of India and others **Respondents**

(By Sarika Gupta, Sr.P.C. through V.C.)

-.
ORDER

The applicant has filed the present Original Application under Section 14 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 submitting that his case is squarely covered by the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court, rendered in the case of "**Dharamvir Singh Vs. UOI & others (2013) 7 SCC 316, dated 02.07.2013**", hence he is entitled for the grant of disability element of disability pension with rounding off benefits.

Notice.

Ms. Sarika Gupta, Sr.P.C. appears and accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and seeks time to file reply. Reply be filed within four weeks and rejoinder, if any, be filed within two week's thereafter.

List on 26.08.2024.

(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)
Member (A)

(Justice Shekher Dhawan)
Member (J)

27th Feb, 2024

sby

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

OA 200 of 2024

Tuesday, the 27th day of Feb, 2024

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)

HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)

Om Prakash Yadav

.....

Applicant

(By None)

Versus

Union of India and others

.....

Respondents

(By Parikshit Singh, CGC through V.C.)

--

ORDER

None appeared on behalf of applicant.

List on 06.03.2024.

(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)
Member (A)

(Justice Shekher Dhawan)
Member (J)

27th Feb, 2024

sby

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

--

OA 201 of 2024

Tuesday, the 27th day of Feb, 2024

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)

HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)

Tara Chand

.....

Applicant

(By None)

Versus

Union of India and others

.....

Respondents

(By K.C.Sahu, Sr.P.C.)

--

ORDER

None appeared on behalf of applicant.

List on 06.03.2024.

(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)
Member (A)

(Justice Shekher Dhawan)
Member (J)

27th Feb, 2024

sby

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

-.
OA 206 of 2024

Tuesday, the 27th day of Feb, 2024

CORAM:

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)
HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

Col Manvir Singh Bains (Retd) Applicant

(By Navjot Singh Bhatti, Advocate through V.C.)

Union of India and others Respondents

(By Shalini Atri, Sr.P.C. through V.C.)

-.
ORDER

The applicant has filed the present Original Application under Section 14 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 submitting that his case is squarely covered by the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court, rendered in the case of "**Ex. Sapper Mohinder Singh Vs. Union of India and others, Civil Appeal No. 164 of 1993** decided on **14.01.1993.**", hence he is entitled for the grant of disability element with rounding off benefits.

Notice.

Ms. Shalini Atri, Sr.P.C. appears and accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and seeks time to file reply. Reply be filed within four weeks and rejoinder, if any, be filed within two week's thereafter.

List on 26.08.2024.

**(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)
Member (A)**

**(Justice Shekher Dhawan)
Member (J)**

27th Feb, 2024

sby

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

-.
OA 207 of 2024

Tuesday, the 27th day of Feb, 2024

CORAM:

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)
HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

Dalbar Singh **Applicant**

(By Harbinder Singh Sandhu, Advocate)

Union of India and others **Respondents**

(By Parikshit Singh, CGC through V.C.)

-.
ORDER

The applicant has filed the present Original Application under Section 14 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 submitting that his case is squarely covered by the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court, rendered in the case of "**Dharamvir Singh Vs. UOI & others (2013) 7 SCC 316, dated 02.07.2013**", hence he is entitled for the grant of disability element of disability pension with rounding off benefits.

Notice.

Mr. Parikshit Singh, CGC appears and accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and seeks time to file reply. Reply be filed within four weeks and rejoinder, if any, be filed within two week's thereafter.

List on 26.08.2024.

(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)
Member (A)

(Justice Shekher Dhawan)
Member (J)

27th Feb, 2024

sby

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

--

OA 208 of 2024

Tuesday, the 27th day of Feb, 2024

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)
HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)

Col Gurpreet Singh (Retd) **Applicant**

(By Harbinder Singh Sandhu, Advocate)

Versus

Union of India and others **Respondents**

(By Balwinder Kaur, Sr.P.C.)

--

ORDER

The applicant has filed the present Original Application under Section 14 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 submitting that his case is squarely covered by the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court, rendered in the case of **"Ex. Sapper Mohinder Singh Vs. Union of India and others, Civil Appeal No. 164 of 1993** decided on **14.01.1993,"** hence he is entitled for the grant of disability element of disability pension with rounding off benefits.

Notice.

Ms. Balwinder Kaur, Sr.P.C. appears and accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and seeks time to file reply. Reply be filed within four weeks and rejoinder, if any, be filed within two week's thereafter.

List on 26.08.2024.

(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)
Member (A)

(Justice Shekher Dhawan)
Member (J)

27th Feb, 2024

sby

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

-.
OA 209 of 2024

Tuesday, the 27th day of Feb, 2024

CORAM:

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)
HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

Sukhwinder Singh **Applicant**

(By Harbinder Singh Sandhu, Advocate)

Union of India and others **Respondents**

(By Mukesh Mehra, CGC)

-.
ORDER

The applicant has filed the present Original Application under Section 14 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 submitting that his case is squarely covered by the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court, rendered in the case of "**Dharamvir Singh Vs. UOI & others (2013) 7 SCC 316, dated 02.07.2013**", hence he is entitled for the grant of disability element of disability pension with rounding off benefits.

Notice.

Mr. Mukesh Mehra, CGC appears and accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and seeks time to file reply. Reply be filed within four weeks and rejoinder, if any, be filed within two week's thereafter.

List on 26.08.2024.

**(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)
Member (A)**

**(Justice Shekher Dhawan)
Member (J)**

27th Feb, 2024

sby

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

--

MA 371 & 372 of 2024 in OA 2203 of 2019

Tuesday, the 27th day of Feb, 2024

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)

HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)

Union of India and others

.....

Applicants- Respondents

(By Rubinia Sharma CGC, Advocate)

Versus

Naresh Kumar

.....

Non applicant

(By None)

--

ORDER

MA 371 & 372 of 2024 in OA 2203 of 2019.

MA 372 of 2024 is the application which has been filed by the respondents, Union of India and others in OA No. **2203 of 2019** making a prayer that leave to appeal to the Hon'ble Supreme Court may be granted under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 (in short 'Act').

Since the application for leave to appeal has been filed beyond limitation, hence, **MA 371 of 2024** for condonation of **193 days** delay in filing the said application has also been filed.

We have heard the learned counsel for the applicants-respondents.

The case was decided by this Tribunal on **03.07.2023** and the present application for leave to appeal has been moved on **12.02.2024**. Section 31 of the aforesaid Act is relevant for the purpose and is quoted below :-

“Section 31 – Leave to Appeal. - (1) An appeal to the Supreme Court shall lie with the leave of the Tribunal; and such leave shall not be granted unless it is certified by the Tribunal that a point of law of general public importance is involved in the decision, or it appears to the Supreme Court that the point is one which ought to be considered by that Court.

(2) *An application to the Tribunal for leave to appeal to the Supreme Court shall be made within a period of thirty days beginning with the date of the decision of the Tribunal and an application to the Supreme Court for leave shall be made within a period of thirty days beginning with the date on which the application for leave is refused by the Tribunal.*

(3) *An appeal shall be treated as pending until any application for leave to appeal is disposed of and if leave to appeal is granted, until the appeal is disposed of; and an application for leave to appeal shall be treated as disposed of at the expiration of the time within which it might have been made, but it is not made within that time."*

In view of the above, it is clear that the application for leave to appeal ought to have been moved within 30 days beginning from the date of decision of the Tribunal. Since the application has been filed beyond that period and no sufficient cause has been shown for the delay of **193** days, so it is barred by limitation and is accordingly dismissed. The order passed by the Tribunal on **03.07.2023** was on the basis of judgment passed by **Hon'ble Apex Court** in **Ex.RECT MITHILESH KUMAR VERSUS UNION OF INDIA & ORS. in Civil Appeal No(s) 16438-16440/2017** decided on **27.10.2017** and **Union of India and ors. Vs. P.A.Thomas in SLP (C) 20330/2011**, decided on **10.12.2014**. Even otherwise, learned counsel for the applicant has not been able to satisfy us that the order dated **03.07.2023** passed by this Tribunal involves point of law of general public importance. Therefore, **MA 372 of 2024**, praying for leave to appeal before the Hon'ble Supreme Court, is also dismissed.

(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)
Member (A)

(Justice Shekher Dhawan)
Member (J)

27th Feb, 2024

'sby'

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : Yes

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

--

MA 373 & 374 of 2024 in OA 1677 of 2019

Tuesday, the 27th day of Feb, 2024

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)

HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)

Union of India and others

.....

Applicants- Respondents

(By Balwinder Kaur Sr PC, Advocate)

Versus

Joginder Singh

.....

Non applicant.

(By None)

--

ORDER

MA 373 & 374 of 2024 in OA 1677 of 2019.

MA 374 of 2024 is the application which has been filed by the respondents, Union of India and others in OA No. 1677 of 2019 making a prayer that leave to appeal to the Hon'ble Supreme Court may be granted under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 (in short 'Act').

Since the application for leave to appeal has been filed beyond limitation, hence, MA 373 of 2024 for condonation of 253 days delay in filing the said application has also been filed.

We have heard the learned counsel for the applicants-respondents.

The case was decided by this Tribunal on 04.05.2023 and the present application for leave to appeal has been moved on 12.02.2024. Section 31 of the aforesaid Act is relevant for the purpose and is quoted below :-

“Section 31 – Leave to Appeal. - (1) An appeal to the Supreme Court shall lie with the leave of the Tribunal; and such leave shall not be granted unless it is certified by the Tribunal that a point of law of general public importance is involved in the decision, or it appears to the Supreme Court that the point is one which ought to be considered by that Court.

(2) *An application to the Tribunal for leave to appeal to the Supreme Court shall be made within a period of thirty days beginning with the date of the decision of the Tribunal and an application to the Supreme Court for leave shall be made within a period of thirty days beginning with the date on which the application for leave is refused by the Tribunal.*

(3) *An appeal shall be treated as pending until any application for leave to appeal is disposed of and if leave to appeal is granted, until the appeal is disposed of; and an application for leave to appeal shall be treated as disposed of at the expiration of the time within which it might have been made, but it is not made within that time.”*

In view of the above, it is clear that the application for leave to appeal ought to have been moved within 30 days beginning from the date of decision of the Tribunal. Since the application has been filed beyond that period and no sufficient cause has been shown for the delay of **253** days, so it is barred by limitation and is accordingly dismissed. The order passed by the Tribunal on **04.05.2023** was on the basis of judgment passed by **Hon’ble Apex Court** in **Union of India and ors. Vs. Ram Avtar, in Civil Appeal No.418 of 2012** decided on **10.12.2014**. Even otherwise, learned counsel for the applicant has not been able to satisfy us that the order dated **04.05.2023** passed by this Tribunal involves point of law of general public importance. Therefore, **MA 374 of 2024**, praying for leave to appeal before the Hon’ble Supreme Court, is also dismissed.

(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)
Member (A)

(Justice Shekher Dhawan)
Member (J)

27th Feb, 2024

sby

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : Yes

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

MA 375 & 376 of 2024 in OA 502 of 2022

Tuesday, the 27th day of Feb, 2024

CORAM:

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)
HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

Union of India and others **Applicants- Respondents**

(By Hitesh Sood CGC, Advocate)

Versus

Amajit Singh

.....

Non applicant.

(By None)

ORDER

Heard.

Present leave to appeal application accompanied by another application for condonation of 74 days delay has been filed. There is no justified ground for condonation of delay of 74 days delay which is beyond the period of 30 days provided under Section 31 of AFT Act, 2007 specially in view of the fact that in the order under challenge dated 30.10.2023, Union of India simplicitor was directed to process the case of applicant and to release the payment whatsoever is due to him.

There is absolutely nothing on merits in the Leave to Appeal and the same stands dismissed along with application of condonation of delay.

(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)
Member (A)

(Justice Shekher Dhawan)
Member (J)

27th Feb, 2024

sby

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

-.-

MA 402 & 403 of 2024 in OA 128 of 2020

Tuesday, the 27th day of Feb, 2024

CORAM:

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)
HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

Union of India and others **Applicants**

(By Manisha Garg Sr PC)

Versus

Sucha Singh **Non-applicant**

(By None)

-.-

ORDER

MA 402 & 403 of 2024

MA 403 of 2024 is the application which has been filed by the respondents, Union of India and others in OA No. **128 of 2020** making a prayer that leave to appeal to the Hon'ble Supreme Court may be granted under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 (in short 'Act').

Since the application for leave to appeal has been filed beyond limitation, hence, **MA 402 of 2024** for condonation of **1100** days delay in filing the said application has also been filed.

We have heard the learned counsel for the applicants-respondents.

The case was decided by this Tribunal on **12.01.2021** and the present application for leave to appeal has been moved on **14.02.2024**. Section 31 of the aforesaid Act is relevant for the purpose and is quoted below :-

“Section 31 – Leave to Appeal. - (1) An appeal to the Supreme Court shall lie with the leave of the Tribunal; and such leave shall not be granted unless it is certified by the Tribunal that a point of law of general public importance is involved in the decision, or it appears to the Supreme Court that the point is one which ought to be considered by that Court.

(2) *An application to the Tribunal for leave to appeal to the Supreme Court shall be made within a period of thirty days beginning with the date of the decision of the Tribunal and an application to the Supreme Court for leave shall be made within a period of thirty days beginning with the date on which the application for leave is refused by the Tribunal.*

(3) *An appeal shall be treated as pending until any application for leave to appeal is disposed of and if leave to appeal is granted, until the appeal is disposed of; and an application for leave to appeal shall be treated as disposed of at the expiration of the time within which it might have been made, but it is not made within that time.”*

In view of the above, it is clear that the application for leave to appeal ought to have been moved within 30 days beginning from the date of decision of the Tribunal. Since the application has been filed beyond that period and no sufficient cause has been shown for the delay of **1100** days, so it is barred by limitation and is accordingly dismissed. The order passed by the Tribunal on **12.01.2021** was only for a direction to the respondents to hold RSMB of the applicant to ascertain his present disability as well as its percentage. Even otherwise, learned counsel for the applicant has not been able to satisfy us that the order dated **12.01.2021** passed by this Tribunal involves point of law of general public importance. Therefore, MA **403 of 2024**, praying for leave to appeal before the Hon'ble Supreme Court, is also dismissed.

(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)
Member (A)

(Justice Shekher Dhawan)
Member (J)

27th Feb, 2024

‘sp’

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

-.-
MA 406 & 407 of 2024 in OA 789 of 2019

Tuesday, the 27th day of Feb, 2024

CORAM:

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)
HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

Union of India and others Applicants

(By Satyawan Ahlawat, Sr PC)

Versus

Balbir Singh Non-applicant

(By)

-.-
ORDER

MA 406 & 407 of 2024

MA 407 of 2024 is the application which has been filed by the respondents, Union of India and others in OA No. **789 of 2019** making a prayer that leave to appeal to the Hon'ble Supreme Court may be granted under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 (in short 'Act').

Since the application for leave to appeal has been filed beyond limitation, hence, **MA 406 of 2024** for condonation of **244** days delay in filing the said application has also been filed.

We have heard the learned counsel for the applicants-respondents.

The case was decided by this Tribunal on **09.05.2023** and the present application for leave to appeal has been moved on **08.02.2024**. Section 31 of the aforesaid Act is relevant for the purpose and is quoted below :-

“Section 31 – Leave to Appeal. - (1) An appeal to the Supreme Court shall lie with the leave of the Tribunal; and such leave shall not be granted unless it is certified by the Tribunal that a point of law of general public importance is involved in the decision, or it appears to the Supreme Court that the point is one which ought to be considered by that Court.

(2) *An application to the Tribunal for leave to appeal to the Supreme Court shall be made within a period of thirty days beginning with the date of the decision of the Tribunal and an application to the Supreme Court for leave shall be made within a period of thirty days beginning with the date on which the application for leave is refused by the Tribunal.*

(3) *An appeal shall be treated as pending until any application for leave to appeal is disposed of and if leave to appeal is granted, until the appeal is disposed of; and an application for leave to appeal shall be treated as disposed of at the expiration of the time within which it might have been made, but it is not made within that time.”*

In view of the above, it is clear that the application for leave to appeal ought to have been moved within 30 days beginning from the date of decision of the Tribunal. Since the application has been filed beyond that period and no sufficient cause has been shown for the delay of **244** days, so it is barred by limitation and is accordingly dismissed. The order passed by the Tribunal on **09.05.2023** was on the basis of judgment passed by Hon’ble Apex Court in case titled as ‘**Civil Appeal 418/2012, Union of India vs. Ram Avtar**’, decided on 10.12.2014. Even otherwise, learned counsel for the applicant has not been able to satisfy us that the order dated **09.05.2023** passed by this Tribunal involves point of law of general public importance. Therefore, MA **407 of 2024**, praying for leave to appeal before the Hon’ble Supreme Court, is also dismissed.

(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)
Member (A)

(Justice Shekher Dhawan)
Member (J)

27th Feb, 2024

‘sp’

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

--
MA 408 & 409 of 2024 in OA 680 of 2021

Tuesday, the 27th day of Feb, 2024

CORAM:

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)
HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

Union of India and others Applicants

(By Satyawan Ahlawat Sr PC)

Versus

Baljinder Singh Non-applicant

(By)

--

ORDER

MA 408 & 409 of 2024

Present Leave to appeal alongwith application for condonation of delay of **175** days is filed.

Notice to the non-applicant in both the MAs for condonation of delay as well as Leave to Appeal.

Learned counsel for the non-applicant be also informed telephonically for the next date.

Record of the case be also tagged.

List on **29.04.2024**.

**(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)
Member (A)**

**(Justice Shekher Dhawan)
Member (J)**

27th Feb, 2024

'sp'

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

OA 3838 of 2018

Tuesday, the 27th day of Feb, 2024

CORAM:

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)
HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

Rai Singh **Applicant**

(By Brig PS Ghuman (Retd), Advocate through V.C.)

Union of India and others **Respondents**

(By Amit Sheoran, Sr PC Proxy counsel for AK Sharma, Sr PC)

ORDER

Learned proxy counsel appearing on behalf of Union of India submits that payment of costs shall be made on next date and reply is ready and shall be filed with the Registry today during the course of the day.

Let the needful be done with an advance copy to learned counsel for the applicant. Payment of costs imposed on last date be also deposited on the date fixed.

List on 29.04.2024.

(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)
Member (A)

(Justice Shekher Dhawan)
Member (J)

27th Feb, 2024

sby

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

OA 237 of 2020

Tuesday, the 27th day of Feb, 2024

CORAM:

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)
HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

Danish

.....

Applicant

(By R.K.Manaise Advocate)

Versus

Union of India and others

.....

Respondents

(By Amit Sheoran, Sr PC)

ORDER

In this case reply has not been filed despite the fact that OA was filed in 2020 and on the last date following order was passed:-

“Reply again not filed. Payment of cost is also not paid.

Learned counsel for Union of India again seeks some more time for filing reply and payment of cost and last opportunity is granted for filing reply subject to cost of Rs.50,000/-.

List on 27.02.2024.”

Today neither reply has been filed nor payment of cost has been deposited. As such the defence of Union of India stands struck off. Fix for arguments.

List on 18.04.2024.

**(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)
Member (A)**

**(Justice Shekher Dhawan)
Member (J)**

27th Feb, 2024

sby

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL
BENCH CHANDIGARH AT CHANDIMANDIR**

--
OA 1041 of 2021

Tuesday, the 27th day of Feb, 2024

CORAM:

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)
HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

Ranjit Singh **Applicant**

(By None)

Union of India and others **Respondents**

(By AK Jund, Sr PC)

--
ORDER

Cost to the tune of Rs. 25,000/- imposed vide order dated 17.01.2024 is not paid.

Learned counsel for the Union of India has taken the plea that one more opportunity for filing the reply as well as for depositing the cost imposed on the previous date be granted. In view of that Union of India is granted one last opportunity to file the reply subject to payment of cost of Rs. 50,000/-.

List on **18.04.2024**.

Copy of this order be given *Dasti* to learned counsel for the respondents on payment of usual charges.

(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)
Member (A)

(Justice Shekher Dhawan)
Member (J)

27th Feb, 2024

‘sp’

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

**--
OA 1833 of 2021**

Tuesday, the 27th day of Feb, 2024

CORAM:

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)
HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

Dharambir Applicant

(By JP Sharma, Advocate, through VC)

Union of India and others Respondents

(By VK Chaudhary, Sr PC)

**--
ORDER**

Learned counsel for the Union of India has taken the plea that reply is ready and shall be filed with the registry within a week's time. Needful be done by the date fixed. However, it is made clear that if the reply is not filed within the stipulated period, the Union of India shall forgo the right to file the reply and case shall be decided on the basis of facts available on the file.

List on **29.04.2024**.

**(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)
Member (A)**

**(Justice Shekher Dhawan)
Member (J)**

27th Feb, 2024

'sp'

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

OA 1871 of 2022

Tuesday, the 27th day of Feb, 2024

CORAM:

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)
HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

Gurdial Singh **Applicant**

(By None)

Union of India and others **Respondents**

(By Sunayana Gupta, CGC, through)

ORDER

Reply is not filed.

Learned counsel for the Union of India seeks more time to file the
reply.

Reply be filed by the date fixed.

List on **29.04.2024**.

(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)
Member (A)

(Justice Shekher Dhawan)
Member (J)

27th Feb, 2024

'sp'

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

**--
OA 642 of 2023**

Tuesday, the 27th day of Feb, 2024

CORAM:

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)
HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

Col Suneet Kumar Swami (Retd) Applicant

(By Navdeep Singh, Advocate)

Versus

Union of India and others Respondents

(By PK Sharma, Sr PC)

**--
ORDER**

Reply is not filed.

Learned counsel for the Union of India seeks more time to file the
reply.

Reply be filed by the date fixed.

List on **30.04.2024**.

**(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)
Member (A)**

**(Justice Shekher Dhawan)
Member (J)**

27th Feb, 2024

‘sp’

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

-.
OA 927 of 2023

Tuesday, the 27th day of Feb, 2024

CORAM:

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)
HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

Davender Kumar **Applicant**

(By Vinay Rathee, Advocate, through VC)

Union of India and others **Respondents**

(By Amit Sheoran, Sr PC for
AK Sharma, Sr PC)

-.
ORDER

Learned proxy counsel appearing for learned counsel for the Union of India submits that the reply is ready and shall be filed with the registry today itself with advance copy to counsel for the applicant. As prayed, needful be done.

List on **30.04.2024**.

(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)
Member (A)

(Justice Shekher Dhawan)
Member (J)

27th Feb, 2024
'sp'

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

-.
OA 1159 of 2023

Tuesday, the 27th day of Feb, 2024

CORAM:

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)
HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

Aman **Applicant**

(By Ajay Sheoran, Advocate)

Union of India and others **Respondents**

(By KK Yogi, CGC)

-.
ORDER

Reply is not filed.

Learned counsel for the Union of India seeks more time to file the
reply.

Reply be filed by the date fixed.

List on **10.05.2024**.

(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)
Member (A)

(Justice Shekher Dhawan)
Member (J)

27th Feb, 2024

'sp'

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

MA 1974 & 1975 of 2023 in OA 536 of 2016

Tuesday, the 27th day of Feb, 2024

CORAM:

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)
HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

Union of India and others Applicants

(By Mukesh Kaushik Sr PC, Advocate)

**Jaswinder Singh Versus
Non-applicant**

(By None)

ORDER

None appears for the Non-applicant.

In the interest of justice adjourned to **10.05.2024.**

**(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)
Member (A)**

**(Justice Shekher Dhawan)
Member (J)**

27th Feb, 2024

'sp'

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

-:-
MA 1966 & 1967 of 2023 in OA 1888 of 2017
Tuesday, the 27th day of Feb, 2024

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)

HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)

Union of India and others **Applicants**
(By Anupama Sharma, Sr PC, Advocate)

Versus

Sant Lal **Non-applicant**
(By None)

-:-

ORDER

MA 1966 & 1967 of 2023

Application for Leave to Appeal accompanied by another application for condonation of delay of 382 days has been filed.

Heard.

There is no justified ground for condonation of delay beyond 30 days, as detailed in the application.

The present application for leave to appeal on the ground that the applicant was not entitled to disability pension which was assessed to be 20% for life but held to neither attributable to nor aggravated to Military Service. The Tribunal in its detailed order had given the detailed reasoning for not agreeing with the stand of the Union of India, in view of judgment of Hon'ble Apex Court, rendered in the case of *Dharamvir Singh Vs Union of India (2013) 7 SCC 316* and disability was granted to the applicant @ 20% by rounding off to 50% on the basis of said judgement of the Apex Court (supra).

In view of above, the LTA alongwith application for condonation of delay is dismissed.

(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)
Member (A)

(Justice Shekher Dhawan)
Member (J)

27th Feb, 2024

'sp'

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

MA 1972 & 1973 of 2023 in OA 2674 of 2018

Tuesday, the 27th day of Feb, 2024

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)

HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)

Union of India and others

.....

Applicants

(By Mukesh Kaushik, Sr PC)

Versus

Faqir Chand

.....

Non-applicant

(By Deepak Sharma, Advocate)

--

ORDER

Learned counsel for the applicant seeks adjournment.

List on **10.05.2024**.

(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)
Member (A)

(Justice Shekher Dhawan)
Member (J)

27th Feb, 2024

'sp'

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

~.-

MA 1958 & 1959 of 2023 in OA 440 of 2019

Tuesday, the 27th day of Feb, 2024

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)

HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)

Union of India and others

.....

Applicants

(By FS Virk, Sr PC)

Versus

Naresh Kumar

.....

Non-applicant

(By None)

~.-

ORDER

None appears for the non-applicant.

In the interest of justice adjourned to **10.05.2024**.

(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)
Member (A)

(Justice Shekher Dhawan)
Member (J)

27th Feb, 2024

'sp'

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

--

MA 1962 & 1963 of 2023 in OA 1965 of 2019

Tuesday, the 27th day of Feb, 2024

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)

HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)

Union of India and others

.....

Applicants

(By Anupama Sharma, Sr PC)

Versus

Partap Singh

.....

Non-applicant

(By None)

--

ORDER

Learned counsel for the applicant submits that that Union of India intends to withdraw the present application for leave to appeal, hence she be permitted to withdraw the present application. Allowed.

Dismissed, as withdrawn.

(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)

Member (A)

(Justice Shekher Dhawan)

Member (J)

27th Feb, 2024

'sp'

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

~
NO CASE

Tuesday, the 27th day of Feb, 2024

CORAM:

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)
HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

NO CASE **Applicant**

(By NO CASE, Advocate)

NO CASE **Versus**
..... **Respondents**

(By NO CASE)

~
ORDER

(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)
Member (A)

(Justice Shekher Dhawan)
Member (J)

27th Feb, 2024

'sp'

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

--

MA 1964 & 1965 of 2023 in OA 1548 of 2020

Tuesday, the 27th day of Feb, 2024

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)

HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)

Union of India and others

.....

Applicants

(By Anupama Sharma Sr PC)

Versus

Partap Chand

.....

Non-applicant

(By None)

--

ORDER

None appears for the non-applicant.

In the interest of justice adjourned.

List on **15.05.2024**.

(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)
Member (A)

(Justice Shekher Dhawan)
Member (J)

27th Feb, 2024

‘sp’

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

~·~

MA (E) 1348 of 2020 in OA 3245 of 2017

Tuesday, the 27th day of Feb, 2024

CORAM:

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)
HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

Sukhjinder Singh **Applicant**

(By GS Ghuman, Advocate)

Versus

Union of India and others **Respondents**

(By Amit Sheoran, Sr PC for
AK Sharma Sr PC)

~·~

ORDER

Learned proxy counsel appearing for the counsel of the Union of India has taken the plea that PPO has been issued in this case, in terms of the orders passed by the Tribunal. A copy of the PPO is placed on the file of the case.

Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time to have appropriate instruction in the matter.

List on **15.05.2024**.

(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)
Member (A)

(Justice Shekher Dhawan)
Member (J)

27th Feb, 2024

'sp'

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

-.-

**MA (E) 1246 of 2020 in OA 4471 of 2018
Tuesday, the 27th day of Feb, 2024**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)

HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)

Anchal Singh

.....

Applicant

(By Suresh Kumar Saini, Advocate, through VC)

Versus

Union of India and others

.....

Respondents

(By PK Sharma, Sr PC)

-.-

ORDER

Learned counsel for the Union of India has taken the plea that he has not received any instructions in this case, hence more time be given for compliance of the order. On the last date following order was passed:-

“The order sought to be executed has not been implemented. Learned Sr PC prays for and is granted six weeks’ more time for the purpose. Let the affidavit in compliance be filed on or before the next date failing which respondent No.4 shall remain present in person on the next date to assist us as to why order sought to be executed has not yet been implemented”.

Neither the order has been complied with nor respondent No. 4, as directed above, has come present. However, we give last opportunity to the Union of India to comply with the order under execution, failing which coercive process for procuring the presence of the erring officer/official shall be initiated.

List on **15.05.2024**.

Copy of this order be given *Dasti* to learned counsel for the respondents on payment of usual charges.

(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)
Member (A)

(Justice Shekher Dhawan)
Member (J)

27th Feb, 2024

‘sp’

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

--

MA (E) 280 of 2022 in OA 985 of 2019

Tuesday, the 27th day of Feb, 2024

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)

HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)

Ranjit Singh

.....

Applicant

(By None)

Versus

Union of India & Others

.....

Respondents

(By Rohit Verma, Sr PC)

--

ORDER

Learned counsel appearing for the Union of India has taken the plea that now PPO, with correct particulars has already been issued and as per information available with him the Union of India has already released the payment which the applicant has received. Since there is no representation from applicant side and in view of the statement of learned counsel for the Union of India the present application stands disposed of.

(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)
Member (A)

(Justice Shekher Dhawan)
Member (J)

27th Feb, 2024

'sp'

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

~.-

OA 980 of 2021

Tuesday, the 27th day of Feb, 2024

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)

HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)

Balbir Singh

.....

Applicant

(By JP Sharma, Advocate through VC)

Versus

Union of India and others

.....

Respondents

(By Neeraj Sharma Sr PC through VC)

~.-

ORDER

Reply already filed by Union of India is taken on record.

On request of learned counsel for the applicant, this case is adjourned
to 15.05.2024.

(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)
Member (A)

(Justice Shekher Dhawan)
Member (J)

27th Feb, 2024

DK

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

OA 1530 of 2021

Tuesday, the 27th day of Feb, 2024

CORAM:

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)
HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

Satpal Singh Chouhan **Applicant**

(By SS Pathania, Advocate, through VC)

Chief of Army Staff and others **Respondents**

(By Vaibhav Parashar Sr PC)

ORDER

Reply already filed by Union of India is taken on record.

On the request of learned counsel for the applicant, this case is adjourned to 16.05.2024 for arguments.

(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)
Member (A)

(Justice Shekher Dhawan)
Member (J)

27th Feb, 2024

DK

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

..-
OA 1078 of 2022

Tuesday, the 27th day of Feb, 2024

CORAM:

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)
HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

Wg Cdr Ramesh Chandra Parmar Applicant

(Retd)

(By Brig PS Ghuman (Retd), Advocate)

Versus

Union of India and others

.....

Respondents

(By Rajesh Kaul, Sr PC)

..-
ORDER

Reply already filed by Union of India is taken on record.

On request of learned counsel for the applicant, this case is adjourned
to 08.08.2024 for arguments.

**(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)
Member (A)**

**(Justice Shekher Dhawan)
Member (J)**

27th Feb, 2024

DK

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

**--
OA 1502 of 2022**

Tuesday, the 27th day of Feb, 2024

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)

HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)

Tarlok Singh

.....

Applicant

(By Col AK Sharma (Retd), Advocate)

Versus

Union of India and others

.....

Respondents

(By Rubinia Sharma, CGC through VC)

--

ORDER

Reply already filed by Union of India is taken on record.

On request of learned counsel for the applicant, this case is adjourned
to 16.05.2024.

**(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)
Member (A)**

**(Justice Shekher Dhawan)
Member (J)**

27th Feb, 2024

DK

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH
AT CHANDIMANDIR

~.-
OA 1704 of 2022

Tuesday, the 27th day of Feb, 2024

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)
HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)

Rajeshwar Singh **Applicant**

(By Ajay Sheoran advocate for Navdeep Singh, Advocate)

Union of India and others **Respondents**

(By Manisha Garg, Sr PC)

~.-
ORDER

Reply already filed by Union of India is taken on record.

On request of learned proxy counsel for the applicant, this case is
adjourned to 05.03.2024.

(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)
Member (A)

(Justice Shekher Dhawan)
Member (J)

27th Feb, 2024

DK

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

-.
OA 1873 of 2022

Tuesday, the 27th day of Feb, 2024

CORAM:

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)
HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

Amarjit Singh **Applicant**

(By Gursahib Singh Hundal Advocate for Vikramjeet Singh, Advocate)

Union of India and others **Respondents**

(By AS Thakur, Sr PC)

-.
ORDER

Reply already filed by Union of India is taken on record.

On request of learned proxy counsel for the applicant, this case is
adjourned to 03.07.2024.

**(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)
Member (A)**

**(Justice Shekher Dhawan)
Member (J)**

27th Feb, 2024
DK

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

--

OA 536 of 2023

Tuesday, the 27th day of Feb, 2024

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)

HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)

Makhan Singh

.....

Applicant

(By Ujwal Anand, Advocate)

Versus

Union of India and others

.....

Respondents

(By AS Thakur, Sr PC)

--

ORDER

(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)

Member (A)

(Justice Shekher Dhawan)

Member (J)

27th Feb, 2024

DK

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

-.
OA 629 of 2023

Tuesday, the 27th day of Feb, 2024

CORAM:

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)
HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

Brig Ravi Kumar Radhakrishnan Applicant

(Retd)

(By Deepak Sharma Advocate for Lt Col SN Sharma (Retd), Advocate)

Union of India and others Respondents

(By Mukesh Mehra, CGC)

-.
ORDER

Reply already filed by Union of India is taken on record.

On request of learned proxy counsel for the applicant, this case is
adjourned to 19.07.2024.

**(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)
Member (A)**

**(Justice Shekher Dhawan)
Member (J)**

27th Feb, 2024

DK

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

~.-

TA (Appeal) 19 of 2016 (arising out of SWP 24 of 2009)

Tuesday, the 27th day of Feb, 2024

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)

HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)

Sanjeev Kumar

.....

Applicant

(By Col AK Sharma (Retd), Advocate)

Versus

Union of India and others

.....

Respondents

(By AS Thakur Sr PC)

~.-

ORDER

On the request of learned counsel for the applicant, this case is
adjourned to 04.03.2024 for arguments.

(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)
Member (A)

(Justice Shekher Dhawan)
Member (J)

27th Feb, 2024

DK

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

MA 2105 of 2023 and OA 717 of 2019

Tuesday, the 27th day of Feb, 2024

CORAM:

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)
HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

Tilak Raj **Applicant**

(By In person)

Union of India and others **Respondents**

(By Anupama Sharma, Sr PC)

ORDER

Learned counsel for Union of India seeks some more time to file reply
to amended pleadings.

Adjourned to 29.04.2024.

(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)
Member (A)

(Justice Shekher Dhawan)
Member (J)

27th Feb, 2024

DK

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

~-

OA 746 of 2019

Tuesday, the 27th day of Feb, 2024

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)

HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)

Kulwinder Singh

.....

Applicant

(By Ajay Sheoran Advocate for Major Balbir Singh (Retd), Advocate)

Versus

Union of India and others

.....

Respondents

(By Rohit Verma, Sr PC)

~-

ORDER

On the request of learned proxy counsel for the applicant, adjourned
to 18.07.2024.

(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)
Member (A)

(Justice Shekher Dhawan)
Member (J)

27th Feb, 2024

DK

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

--
OA 2005 of 2019

Tuesday, the 27th day of Feb, 2024

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)

HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)

Gurdev Singh

.....

Applicant

(By None)

Versus

Union of India and others

.....

Respondents

(By Savita Chaudhary, Sr PC)

--

ORDER

None for the applicant.

Reply already filed by Union of India is taken on record.

In the interest of justice, adjourned to 18.07.2024.

(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)
Member (A)

(Justice Shekher Dhawan)
Member (J)

27th Feb, 2024

DK

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH
AT CHANDIMANDIR

--

OA 2565 of 2019

Tuesday, the 27th day of Feb, 2024

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)

HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)

Mukesh Kumar

.....

Applicant

(By None)

Versus

Union of India and others

.....

Respondents

(By KK Yogi, CGC)

--

ORDER

None for the applicant.

In the interest of justice, adjourned to 18.07.2024.

(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)
Member (A)

(Justice Shekher Dhawan)
Member (J)

27th Feb, 2024

DK

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

--

OA (Appeal) 1597 of 2020

Tuesday, the 27th day of Feb, 2024

CORAM:

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)
HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

Surender Singh **Applicant**

(By Balwinder Singh, Advocate)

Versus

Union of India and others **Respondents**

(By Sangeeta Dubey, Sr PC through VC)

--

ORDER

Learned counsel for Union of India has taken the plea that the relevant record pertaining to Summary Court Martial is now available with them and seeks some more time for arguments.

Adjourned to 09.04.2024.

(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)
Member (A)

(Justice Shekher Dhawan)
Member (J)

27th Feb, 2024

DK

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

~.-

OA 117 of 2021

Tuesday, the 27th day of Feb, 2024

CORAM:

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)
HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

Som Dutt **Applicant**

(By Navjot Singh Bhatti, Advocate through VC)

Versus

Union of India and others **Respondents**

(By Rajni Narula, Sr PC through VC)

~.-

ORDER

Reply already filed by Union of India is taken on record.

On request of learned counsel for Union of India, adjourned to
19.04.2024 for arguments.

(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)
Member (A)

(Justice Shekher Dhawan)
Member (J)

27th Feb, 2024

DK

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

-.
OA 340 of 2021

Tuesday, the 27th day of Feb, 2024

CORAM:

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)
HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

Keshav Prasad **Applicant**

(By JP Sharma, Advocate through VC)

Union of India and others **Respondents**

(By PK Sharma Sr PC)

-.
ORDER

Learned counsel for the applicant is visible on screen but not audible.
He shall come present in person on the next date.

The matter in controversy is for grant of invalid pension and the same controversy is pending before the Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court wherein stay order has already been issued.

In view of that, the case is adjourned to next date for awaiting of order from the Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court.

Adjourned to 25.07.2024.

**(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)
Member (A)**

**(Justice Shekher Dhawan)
Member (J)**

27th Feb, 2024

DK

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

OA 918 of 2021

Tuesday, the 27th day of Feb, 2024

CORAM:

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)
HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

Sukhbir Singh **Applicant**

(By Ajay Sheoran, Advocate)

Union of India and others **Respondents**

(By Rohit Verma Sr PC)

ORDER

Reply already filed by Union of India is taken on record.

The matter involving rounding off has been stayed by the Hon'ble Punjab and Haryana High Court as per order dated 22.12.2023 and for awaiting the decision in the matter, the case is adjourned to 05.07.2024.

(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)
Member (A)

(Justice Shekher Dhawan)
Member (J)

27th Feb, 2024

DK

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

--

OA 967 of 2021

Tuesday, the 27th day of Feb, 2024

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)

HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)

Ram Kumar

.....

Applicant

(By Ujwal Anand Advocate for Rajeev Anand, Advocate through VC)

Versus

Union of India and others

.....

Respondents

(By Sangeeta Dubey, Sr PC through VC)

--

ORDER

Reply already filed by Union of India is taken on record.

The matter involving rounding off has been stayed by the Hon'ble Punjab and Haryana High Court as per order dated 22.12.2023 and for awaiting the decision in the matter, the case is adjourned to 05.07.2024.

(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)
Member (A)

(Justice Shekher Dhawan)
Member (J)

27th Feb, 2024

DK

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

OA 1360 of 2022

Tuesday, the 27th day of Feb, 2024

CORAM:

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)
HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

Mohinder Singh **Applicant**

(By Deepak Sharma Advocate for Lt Col SN Sharma (Retd), Advocate)

Union of India and others **Respondents**

(By Sanal Kumar CGC)

ORDER

Reply already filed by Union of India is taken on record.

Learned counsel for Union of India seeks some more time arguments.

Adjourned to 22.05.2024.

(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)
Member (A)

(Justice Shekher Dhawan)
Member (J)

27th Feb, 2024

DK

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

~.-

OA 250 of 2023

Tuesday, the 27th day of Feb, 2024

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)

HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)

Ex Sgt. Banda Srinivas

.....

Applicant

(By Ajay Sheoran Advocate for MK Gaur, Advocate)

Versus

Union of India and others

.....

Respondents

(By AK Jund, Sr PC)

~.-

ORDER

Reply already filed by Union of India is taken on record.

On the request of learned counsel for the applicant, adjourned to
22.05.2024.

(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)
Member (A)

(Justice Shekher Dhawan)
Member (J)

27th Feb, 2024

DK

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

~.-
OA 1153 of 2023

Tuesday, the 27th day of Feb, 2024

CORAM:

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)
HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

Suresh Kumar **Applicant**

(By Jai Singh, Advocate, through VC)

Union of India and others **Respondents**

(By Bhavana Datta, Sr PC through VC)

~.-
ORDER

Reply already filed by Union of India is taken on record.

The matter in controversy is for grant of invalid pension and the same controversy is pending before the Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court wherein stay order has already been issued.

In view of that, the case is adjourned to next date for awaiting of order from the Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court.

Adjourned to 22.05.2024.

(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)
Member (A)

(Justice Shekher Dhawan)
Member (J)

27th Feb, 2024

DK

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

-.
OA 1156 of 2023

Tuesday, the 27th day of Feb, 2024

CORAM:

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)
HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

Ranjit Singh **Applicant**

(By Ravi Badyal, Advocate)

Union of India and others **Respondents**

(By AK Jund, Sr PC)

-.
ORDER

Reply already filed by Union of India is taken on record.

The matter in controversy is for grant of invalid pension and the same controversy is pending before the Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court wherein stay order has already been issued.

In view of that, the case is adjourned to next date for awaiting of order from the Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court.

Adjourned to 19.07.2024.

(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)
Member (A)

(Justice Shekher Dhawan)
Member (J)

27th Feb, 2024

DK

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH CHANDIGARH
AT CHANDIMANDIR**

-.
OA 1158 of 2023

Tuesday, the 27th day of Feb, 2024

CORAM:

**HON'BLE MR JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN, MEMBER (J)
HON'BLE LT GEN (Dr) RANBIR SINGH, MEMBER (A)**

Jagvir Singh **Applicant**

(By Surinder Sheoran, Advocate)

Union of India and others **Respondents**

(By Anupama Sharma, Sr PC)

-.
ORDER

Reply already filed by Union of India is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the applicant has taken the plea that Union of India has sought certain documents for conducting his Release Medical Board and the applicant has supplied the same one year back, but Union of India has not got the RSMB conducted till date.

Let needful be done by the next date.

Adjourned to 20.05.2024.

Copy of order be given dasti to learned counsel representing Union of India for compliance.

(Lt Gen (Dr) Ranbir Singh)
Member (A)

(Justice Shekher Dhawan)
Member (J)

27th Feb, 2024

DK